BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**International Trade Administration** 

[A-122-853]

Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Canada: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011-2012

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International

Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On June 7, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the third administrative review of the antidumping duty order on citric acid and certain citrate salts from Canada.<sup>1</sup> The review covers one producer and exporter of the subject merchandise, Jungbunzlauer Canada Inc. (JBL Canada). The period of review (POR) is May 1, 2011, through April 30, 2012.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made no changes to our calculations. Therefore, the final results do not differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margin for JBL Canada is listed below in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

DATED: EFFECTIVE: (INSERT DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE *FEDERAL REGISTER*.)
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rebecca Trainor or Kate Johnson, AD/CVD
Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S.

Saa Citria Aaid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>See Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Canada: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011-2012, 78 FR 34338 (June 7, 2013) (Preliminary Results).</u>

Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC, 20230; telephone (202) 482-4007 or (202) 482-4929, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Background**

The review covers one producer and exporter of the subject merchandise, JBL Canada. On June 7, 2013, the Department published in the <u>Federal Register</u> the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on citric acid and certain citrate salts from Canada. We invited parties to comment on the preliminary results of the review. In July 2013, we received case and rebuttal briefs from Archer Daniels Midland Company, Cargill, Incorporated, and Tate & Lyle Ingredients Americas LLC (collectively, the petitioners) and JBL Canada. On July 8, 2013, the petitioners requested that the Department conduct a hearing in this review. On August 1, 2013, the petitioners withdrew their hearing request. The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

# Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order is citric acid and certain citrate salts. The product is currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) at item numbers 2918.14.0000 and 2918.15.1000, 2918.15.5000 and 3824.90.9290. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the full written scope description, as published in the antidumping duty order<sup>2</sup> and described in the memorandum entitled "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2011-2012 Antidumping

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Canada and the People's Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Orders,

Duty Administrative Review of Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Canada" (Issues and Decision Memorandum), remains dispositive.

# Period of Review

The POR is May 1, 2011, through April 30, 2012.

# Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties are addressed in the memorandum entitled, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2011-2012 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Canada" (Issues and Decision Memo), which is dated concurrently with, and adopted by, this notice. A list of the issues which parties raised and to which we respond in the Issues and Decision Memo is attached to this notice as Appendix I. The Issues and Decision Memo is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <a href="http://iaaccess.trade.gov">http://iaaccess.trade.gov</a> and in the Central Records Unit (CRU), room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Internet at <a href="http://www.trade.gov/ia/">http://www.trade.gov/ia/</a>. The signed Issues and Decision Memo and the electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memo are identical in content.

#### Changes Since The Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our <u>Preliminary Results</u>, we have made no changes to our calculations. Therefore, the final results do not differ from the preliminary results.

## Final Results of the Review

We determine that a weighted-average dumping margin of 1.20 percent exists for entries of subject merchandise that were produced and/or exported by JBL Canada and that entered, or were withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the period May 1, 2011, through April 30, 2012.

### Assessment Rates

Purusant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), the Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries in accordance with the final results of this review.<sup>3</sup> Pursuant to 19 CFR 356.8(a), the Department intends to issue appropriate appraisement instructions for the respondent subject to this review directly to CBP 41 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review.

As we stated in the <u>Preliminary Results</u>, we determined it is appropriate to calculate importer-specific per-unit duty assessment rates.<sup>4</sup> We calculated importer-specific per-unit duty assessment rates by aggregating the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales and dividing this amount by the total quantity of those sales. To determine whether the per-unit duty assessment rates are <u>de minimis</u>, in accordance with the requirement

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In these final results, the Department applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in <u>Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Preliminary Results, 78 FR at 34339.

set forth in 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), we calculated customer-specific <u>ad valorem</u> ratios based on the estimated entered value.

We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review if any importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is above <u>de minimis</u> (i.e., at or above 0.50 percent). Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we will instruct CBP to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties any entries for which the assessment rate is <u>de minimis</u> (i.e., less than 0.50 percent). The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003. See

Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR

23954 (May 6, 2003) (Assessment Policy Notice). This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by JBL Canada for which it did not know that the merchandise it sold to the intermediary (e.g., a reseller, trading company, or exporter) was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate effective during the POR if there is no rate for the intermediary involved in the transaction. See Assessment Policy Notice for a full discussion of this clarification.

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the

Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for JBL Canada will be that established in the final results of this review, (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not participating in this review, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a previous review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 23.21 percent, the all-others rate made effective by the LTFV investigation. See Citric Acid Duty Orders, 74 FR 25703. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

# Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

### Notification to Interested Parties

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), this notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby

7

requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable

violation.

Tolling of Deadlines

As explained in the memorandum from the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and

Compliance, the Department has exercised its discretion to toll deadlines for the duration of the

closure of the Federal Government from October 1, through October 16, 2013. See

Memorandum for the Record from Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and

Compliance, "Deadlines Affected by the Shutdown of the Federal Government" (October 18,

2013). Therefore, all deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by 16

days. If the new deadline falls on a non-business day, in accordance with the Department's

practice, the deadline will become the next business day. The revised deadline for the final

results of this review is now October 23, 2013.

This administrative review and notice are published in accordance with sections

751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 23, 2013.

Paul Piquado,

**Assistant Secretary** 

for Enforcement and Compliance.

# Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- 1. Price Adjustment of a Business Proprietary Nature for Certain Constructed Export Price Sales
- 2. Allocation of U.S. Indirect Selling Expenses
- 3. Calculation of Home Market Indirect Selling Expensees

[FR Doc. 2013-25818 Filed 10/29/2013 at 8:45 am; Publication Date: 10/30/2013]